



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FI | LING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/834,431 | (| 04/13/2001 | Keiji Mabuchi | 09792909-4975 5912 | |
| 33448 | 8 7590 06/13/2005 | | | EXAMINER | |
| ROBERT J | . DEPKE | | AGGARWAL, YOGESH K | | |
| LEWIS T. S | TEADMA | N | | | |
| TREXLER, | BUSHNE | LL, GLANGLORGI | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| 105 WEST | ADAMS S | TREET, SUITE 360 | 2615 | | |
| CHICAGO, | | • | | | |

DATE MAILED: 06/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 09/834,431 | MABUCHI ET AL. | | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Yogesh K. Aggarwal | 2615 | | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any re | PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Heriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply be to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing a patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) 🖂 🛭 F | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Fe | <u>ebruary 2005</u> . | | | | | |
| · <u> </u> | • | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| • | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Dispositio | on of Claims | | | | | | |
| 5)□ (6)図 (7)図 (| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,5-10 and 12-14 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 4 and 11 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application | on Papers | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ T | The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 13 April 2001 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | ☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sertion is required if the drawing(s) is object. | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | |
| Priority ur | nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| a) [| Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau ee the attached detailed Office action for a list | s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | ion No ed in this National Stage | | | | |
| Attachment(| s) | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice 3) Inform | of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 12/20/2004. | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: | (PTO-413) ate Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-14 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 8, 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Parulski et al. (US Patent # 5,828,406).

[Claims 1 and 14]

Parulski et al. teaches a solid-state image pickup apparatus (figures 2-4), comprising an XY address type solid-state image pickup element (figures 3 and 4) in which pixels are arranged in a matrix and color filters having a predetermined color repetition (RGB bayer pattern shown in figure 4 corresponds to a predetermined color repetition) are formed on respective pixels, and a horizontal register (figure 3, element 70) for selectively reading out signals from the pixels (col. 6 lines 51-56). Parulski further teaches frequency changing means (figure 12, elements 100, 102, 104 and 52) for changing a clock frequency of a system when a moving mode (read as a thinning mode, See figure 7) is specified for the solid-state image pickup element (col. 5 lines 15-31, col. 6 lines 22-50, figures 7 and 12); and driving means (figure 2, timing and control section 27) for selecting pixels on the basis of the clock frequency changed by the frequency changing means

and in a sequence corresponding to a color coding of both a row direction and a column direction to read out pixel signals (figure 7 discloses pixels being timing and control section 27 reading pixels in the moving or thinning out mode by reading out pixels in the rows and a column direction by eliminating some of the lines through the fast dump structure 72 prior to readout (col. 6 lines 35-40). Therefore pixels are read out according to a color-coding of both a row direction and column direction).

[Claim 8]

These are method claims corresponding to apparatus claim 1. Therefore it has been analyzed and rejected based upon apparatus claim 1.

[Claim 13]

Parulski et al. teaches a camera system (col. 3 lines 1-2, figure 2) comprising an XY address type solid-state image pickup element (figures 3 and 4) in which pixels are arranged in a matrix and color filters having a predetermined color coding (RGB bayer pattern shown in figure 4 corresponds to a predetermined color coding) an operation mode setting means for selectively setting an all-pixel read mode and a thinning-out read mode to the solid-state image pickup element (col. 6 lines 22-26); frequency changing means (figure 1, elements 23 and 24) for changing a clock frequency of a system when the thinning-out read mode is set (col. 6 lines 25-31); and driving means (figure 2, timing and control section 27) for selecting pixels on the basis of the clock frequency changed by the frequency changing means and in a sequence corresponding to a color coding of both a row direction and a column direction to read out pixel signals (figure 7 discloses pixels being timing and control section 27 reading pixels in the moving or thinning out mode by reading out pixels in the rows and a column direction by

Application/Control Number: 09/834,431

Page 4

Art Unit: 2615

eliminating some of the lines through the fast dump structure 72 prior to readout (col. 6 lines 35-40). Therefore pixels are read out according to a color-coding of both a row direction and column direction). Parulski teaches a signal processing means (figure 2, element 35) for processing an output signal of the solid-state image pickup element on the basis of the clock frequency changed by the frequency changing means when the thinning-out read mode is set (col. 3 lines 55-67).

4. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Parulski et al. (US Patent # 5,493,335).

[Claim 7]

Parulski '335 teaches a solid-state image pickup apparatus (figures 1,3 and 4), comprising an XY address type solid-state image pickup element (figures 3 and 4) in which pixels are arranged in a matrix and color filters having a predetermined color repetition (RGB bayer pattern shown in figure 4 corresponds to a predetermined color repetition) are formed on respective pixels and driving means for selecting a plurality of pixels including at least one pixel wherein an adjacent pixel at any side of which is not selected corresponding to a next closest pixel of the same color (figure 4 shows selecting green, red and blue pixels which are not of the same color of the adjacent pixels).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an

Application/Control Number: 09/834,431 Page 5

Art Unit: 2615

international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

6. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tanaka et al. (US

Patent # 6,130,420).

[Claim 6]

Tanaka teaches a solid-state image pickup apparatus (figure 1), comprising: an XY address type solid-state image pickup element (figure 1, element 11) in which pixels are arranged in a matrix and color filters having a predetermined color coding are formed for the respective pixels (col. 5 lines 61-64); and driving means (figure 1, element 21) for selecting only specific pixels to keep an arrangement sequence of the color coding and for reading out pixel signals when thinning-out read is specified for the solid-state image pickup element (col. 5 lines 61-67 clearly disclose that in the "thinned out mode" a solid state color CCD can read out three lines at a time and four lines apart or four lines at a time and two lines apart etc. depending upon the color coding of color filters in use. Furthermore, Col. 6 lines 25-31 disclose that the frequency can be reduced by the dividing circuit 23 to 1/m in the "thinned out mode", In the thinned out mode the CCD can be read out three lines at a time and four lines apart or four lines at a time and two lines apart etc. depending upon the color coding of color filters in use as explained earlier, therefore frequency is changed by the frequency changing means in a sequence corresponding to the color coding of the color filters in use to read out pixel signals).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claims 2, 3, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Parulski (US Patent # 5,828,406) in view of Tanaka et al. (US Patent # 6,130,420).

[Claims 2, 3]

Parulski fails to teach the color-coding is repeated of a unit, the unit being made of two rows and two columns and the driving means successively reads out the pixel signals of every third pixel in both a row direction and a column direction.

However Tanaka teaches in col. 5 lines 65-67 disclose two lines at a time and one line apart, so a unit is made of two rows and two columns; and the driving means successively reads out the pixel signals every third pixel or every other unit in both a row direction and a column direction (col. 5 lines 65-66 discloses that any of the charge thinning arrangement is made available by simply modifying the wiring pattern of the transfer clocks V2 and V3, so if the charges are read one line, two lines apart then every third pixel will be read out. Also col. 5 lines 65-67 disclose that the unit is being read out as every two lines at a time in the row as well column direction.)

Therefore taking the combined teachings of Parulski and Tanaka, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to have been motivated to have a unit that is made of two rows and two columns and the driving means successively reads out the pixel signals every third pixel or every other unit in both a row direction and a column direction in order to compress the image in both vertical and horizontal directions.

[Claims 9,10]

Art Unit: 2615

These are method claims corresponding to apparatus claims 2,3 respectively. Therefore they have been analyzed and rejected based upon apparatus claims 2,3.

9. Claims 5 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Parulski (US Patent # 5,828,406) in view of Acharya et al. (US Patent # 6,348,929).

[Claim 5]

Parulski et al. fails to disclose "wherein the color coding has a same color in a same column and has repetition of three colors in a row direction, and the driving means reads out the pixel signals every other pixel in both a row direction and a column direction". However Acharya et al. teaches in figure 3 (a) color filter array (CFA) having same color in same column and repetition of three colors in a row direction and the driving means reads out the pixel signals every other pixel in both a row direction and a column direction (col. 7 lines 17-25) in order to have the same color pattern in the readout color filter array (CFA) like a Bayer pattern. Therefore taking the combined teachings of Parulski and Acharya, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to have been motivated to have color coding having a same color in a same column and has repetition of three colors in a row direction, and the driving means reads out the pixel signals every other pixel in both a row direction and a column direction. The benefit of doing so would be to have a clear image with better colors.

[Claim 12]

This is a method claim corresponding to apparatus claim 5. Therefore it has been analyzed and rejected based upon apparatus claim 5.

Application/Control Number: 09/834,431 Page 8

Art Unit: 2615

Conclusion

Allowable Subject Matter

- 10. Claims 4 and 11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 11. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:
- a) The prior art fails to show or suggest wherein four, 2, units, each unit being made of two rows and two columns, are integrated, and the driving means successively reads out an addition signal of lower left pixels in the units, an addition signal of lower right pixels, an addition signal of upper left pixels, and an addition signal of upper right pixels
 - b) Claim 11 is a method claim corresponding to apparatus claim 4.
- Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 09/834,431 Page 9

Art Unit: 2615

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yogesh K. Aggarwal whose telephone number is (571) 272-7360. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00AM-5:30PM.

13. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Ometz can be reached on (571)-272-7593. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

14. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

YKA June 6, 2005

> DAVID L. UMETZ PRIMARY EXAMINER